

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	IG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/814,475	03/30/2004		Hiroshi Suzuki	16869N-111600US	7769
20350	7590	03/24/2006 -		EXAMINER	
	ND AND TO	DILLON, S	DILLON, SAMUEL A		
TWO EMBA	ARCADERO	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		94111-3834		2185	· · ·
				DATE MAIL ED. 02/24/200	,

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,	Application No.	Applicant(a)				
•		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/814,475	SUZUKI ET AL.				
Sinco Fielderi Gummary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of the	Sam Dillon	2185				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>February</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the practice of the pract	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	election requirement.					
 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 03/30/2004 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ■ All b) ■ Some * c) ■ None of: 1. ■ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ■ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ■ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa					

Application/Control Number: 10/814,475 Page 2

Art Unit: 2185

DETAILED ACTION

1. The Examiner acknowledges the applicant's submission of the amendment dated February 8, 2006. Per the amendment, Claims 1-4, 6-7, and 9-15 have been amended and Claims 16-20 have been added.

2. The instant application having Application No. 10/814,475 has a total of 20 claims pending, with 5 independent claims and 15 dependent claims.

I. RESPONSES TO AMENDMENT(S) / ARGUMENT(S)

- In response to the amendment, the objections to the abstract and Claims7 and 12-14 as stated in the previous action are withdrawn.
- 4. In response to the amendment, the 35 U.S.C. 101 rejection of Claim 15 as stated in the previous action is withdrawn.
- Regarding the inclusion of a certified copy of the priority document, the Examiner notes that the Applicant is correct and that it was included in the original application.
- 6. Applicant's arguments with respect to the 35 U.S.C. 102 rejections of Claims 1-4, 6, 9-11 and 15 have been fully considered and are persuasive, but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection, as described below. However, the Examiner notes that the previous rejections fulfill some but not all of the limitations added in the amendment.

Regarding the limitations "wherein said connection information definition block includes a logical volume connection information specification division in which a connected state value concerning the connection of said computer is

specified in relation to each logical volume included in said disk device or each logical area in each logical volume included in said disk device," disclosed in Claims 1, 2, 9 and 11, the Examiner directs the applicant to port mapping table entries 191 at column 10, line 42 of Hubis.

Regarding the limitations "said connected state value ranging between a minimum value and a maximum value, said maximum value signifying that said computer is fully connected, said minimum value signifying that said computer is fully disconnected, an intermediate value between said maximum value and said minimum value signifying a conditionally connected state for said computer," disclosed in Claims 1, 2, 9, 11 and 15, the Examiner notes that Hubis does not disclose any element that fulfills this limitation.

7. Applicant's four separate arguments with respect to the 35 U.S.C. 103 rejections of Claims 5, 7-8 and 12-14 have been fully considered and are persuasive, but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection, as described below. However, the Examiner notes that the previous rejections fulfill some but not all of the limitations added in the amendment, and directs the applicant to see the response to the 35 U.S.C. 102 rejections above for more information.

II. REJECTIONS BASED ON PRIOR ART

Claim Rejections - 35 USC '103 - Hubis and McIlroy

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to

be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 9. <u>Claims 1-4, 6, 9-11 and 15</u> are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hubis et al (US Patent Number 6,343,324) in view of McIlroy et al. ("Multilevel Security in the UNIX Tradition").
- 10. As per <u>Claim 1</u>, Hubis discloses an input/output management system for managing input or output from or to a disk device (*Hubis, disk drive storage* array, column 3 lines 62-65) connected to a computer (host 1, Figure 2A), comprising:

a connection information definition block (NURAM 182, Figure 2A) in which the relationship of logical connection (port mapping table entry 190, Figure 2B-3) between said computer and a logical volume (logical volume, column 10 line 33) included in said disk device or a logical area (logical volume, column 10 line 33) in a logical volume (physical disc drive, column 10 line 32) is defined; and

an input/output execution control block (processor 180, Figure 2A) that controls, based on the definition, whether said computer can access a logical volume included in said disk device or a logical area in a logical volume (column 4 lines 6-8).

Hubis does not disclose wherein said connection information definition block includes a logical volume connection information specification division in which a connected state value concerning the connection of said computer is specified in relation to each logical volume included in said disk device or each

Art Unit: 2185

logical area in each logical volume included in said disk device, said connected state value ranging between a minimum value and a maximum value, said maximum value signifying that said computer is fully connected, said minimum value signifying that said computer is fully disconnected, an intermediate value between said maximum value and said minimum value signifying a conditionally connected state for said computer.

McIlroy discloses a connected state value (ceiling, section 2, paragraph 1 line 3) concerning the connection of said computer (process. Section 2, paragraph 1 line 3) is specified in relation to each logical volume (file system, section 2, paragraph 1 line 3), said connected state value ranging between a minimum value and a maximum value (section 2, paragraph 2 line 1), said maximum value (symbol "yes", section 2, paragraph 2 line 2) signifying that said computer is fully connected, said minimum value (symbol "no", section 2, paragraph 2 lines 3-4) signifying that said computer is fully disconnected, an intermediate value (element of a mathematical lattice, section 2, paragraph 2 line 1) between said maximum value and said minimum value signifying a conditionally connected state (when a process label has a higher privilege than a storage label the communication may proceed, otherwise it will not, section 1, paragraph 2 lines 2-3) for said computer.

Hubis and McIlroy are analogous art in that they both deal with the Unix operating system (see Hubis, column 1 lines 41-43, and McIlroy, section 1, paragraph 1 line 1) and validating authorization to access files (see Hubis, column 3 lines 52-59, and McIlroy, section 1, paragraph 2 lines 1-2). At the time

of the invention it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to implement McIlroy's secure system by adding labels to Hubis' port mapping table.

The motivation for doing so would have been that the McIlroy's system provides sound, practical security (abstract, lines 3-5) and uses security labels to classify information for purposes of privacy and integrity (abstract, lines 5-7).

Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time of the invention to implement McIlroy's security labels on Hubis' volume management system for the benefit of practical security and for purposes of privacy and integrity, to obtain the invention of Claim 1.

11. As per Claim 2, Hubis discloses an input/output management system for managing input or output from or to a disk device (disk drive storage array, column 3 lines 62-65) connected to a plurality of computers (plurality of computers, column 4 line 3 and host 1 through M, Figure 2A), comprising:

a connection information definition block (NURAM 182, Figure 2A) in which the relationship of logical connection (port mapping table 190, Figure 2A) between each of said computers and a logical volume (storage volume 108, column 4 line 48 and logical volume 1, Figure 2A) included in said disk device or a logical area (logical volume, column 10 line 33) in a logical volume (physical disc drive, column 10 line 32) is defined using computer identification information (unique identifier, column 4 line 5); and an input/output execution control block (processor 180, Figure 2A) that controls, based on the definition, whether each of said computers can

access a logical volume included in said disk device or a logical area in a logical volume (column 4 lines 6-8).

Hubis does not disclose wherein said connection information definition block includes a logical volume connection information specification division in which a connected state value concerning the connection of said computer is specified in relation to each logical volume included in said disk device or each logical area in each logical volume included in said disk device, said connected state value ranging between a minimum value and a maximum value, said maximum value signifying that said computer is fully connected, said minimum value signifying that said computer is fully disconnected, an intermediate value between said maximum value and said minimum value signifying a conditionally connected state for said computer.

McIlroy discloses a connected state value (ceiling, section 2, paragraph 1 line 3) concerning the connection of said computer (process. Section 2, paragraph 1 line 3) is specified in relation to each logical volume (file system, section 2, paragraph 1 line 3), said connected state value ranging between a minimum value and a maximum value (section 2, paragraph 2 line 1), said maximum value (symbol "yes", section 2, paragraph 2 line 2) signifying that said computer is fully connected, said minimum value (symbol "no", section 2, paragraph 2 lines 3-4) signifying that said computer is fully disconnected, an intermediate value (element of a mathematical lattice, section 2, paragraph 2 line 1) between said maximum value and said minimum value signifying a conditionally connected state (when a process label has a higher privilege than a

storage label the communication may proceed, otherwise it will not, section 1, paragraph 2 lines 2-3) for said computer.

Hubis and McIlroy are analogous art in that they both deal with the Unix operating system (see Hubis, column 1 lines 41-43, and McIlroy, section 1, paragraph 1 line 1) and validating authorization to access files (see Hubis, column 3 lines 52-59, and McIlroy, section 1, paragraph 2 lines 1-2). At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to implement McIlroy's secure system by adding labels to Hubis' port mapping table.

The motivation for doing so would have been that the McIlroy's system provides sound, practical security (abstract, lines 3-5) and uses security labels to classify information for purposes of privacy and integrity (abstract, lines 5-7).

Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time of the invention to implement McIlroy's security labels on Hubis' volume management system for the benefit of practical security and for purposes of privacy and integrity, to obtain the invention of Claim 2.

12. As per <u>Claim 3</u>, Hubis and McIlroy disclose an input/output management system according to Claim 1, wherein said connection information definition block comprises:

a computer identification information definition division (host computer ID map data structure, column 4 lines 10-11) in which physical identification information (host computer ID, column 4 line 10) that uniquely indicates said computer connected to said disk device is defined.

13. As per Claim 4, Hubis and McIlroy disclose an input/output management system for managing input or output from or to a disk device connected to a computer according to Claim 1, wherein said connection information definition block comprises:

a computer identification information definition division (port mapping table 190, Figure 2B-3) in which the relationship of logical connection (port mapping table entry 191, Figure 2B-3) between said computer and a logical area in a logical volume included in said disk device is defined using computer identification information (host index 151, Figure 2B-3).

14. As per <u>Claim 6</u>, Hubis and McIlroy disclose an input/output management system according to Claim 1, wherein said connection information definition block comprises:

a computer identification information definition division (port mapping table 190, Figure 2B-3) in which the relationship of logical connection (port mapping table entry 191, Figure 2B-3) between said computer and a logical volume included in said disk device is defined using port numbers (i/o processor number column in port mapping table, Figure 2B-3) assigned to the ports of said disk device connected to said computer (port 114-1 through port 114-M in Figure 2A).

15. As per <u>Claim 9</u>, Hubis discloses an input/output management method for managing input or output from or to a disk device (disk drive storage array,

column 3 lines 62-65) connected to a computer (host 1, Figure 2A), comprising the steps of:

defining the relationship of logical connection (NURAM data structures 182, Figure 2A) between said computer and a logical volume (logical volume, column 10 line 33) included in said disk device or a logical area (logical volume, column 10 line 33) in a logical volume (physical disc drive, column 10 line 32); and

controlling, based on the definition, whether said computer can access a logical volume included in said disk device or a logical area a logical volume (column 4 lines 6-8).

Hubis does not disclose wherein said connection information definition block includes a logical volume connection information specification division in which a connected state value concerning the connection of said computer is specified in relation to each logical volume included in said disk device or each logical area in each logical volume included in said disk device, said connected state value ranging between a minimum value and a maximum value, said maximum value signifying that said computer is fully connected, said minimum value signifying that said computer is fully disconnected, an intermediate value between said maximum value and said minimum value signifying a conditionally connected state for said computer.

McIlroy discloses a connected state value (ceiling, section 2, paragraph 1 line 3) concerning the connection of said computer (process. Section 2, paragraph 1 line 3) is specified in relation to each logical volume (file system,

Art Unit: 2185

section 2, paragraph 1 line 3), said connected state value ranging between a minimum value and a maximum value (section 2, paragraph 2 line 1), said maximum value (symbol "yes", section 2, paragraph 2 line 2) signifying that said computer is fully connected, said minimum value (symbol "no", section 2, paragraph 2 lines 3-4) signifying that said computer is fully disconnected, an intermediate value (element of a mathematical lattice, section 2, paragraph 2 line 1) between said maximum value and said minimum value signifying a conditionally connected state (when a process label has a higher privilege than a storage label the communication may proceed, otherwise it will not, section 1, paragraph 2 lines 2-3) for said computer.

Hubis and McIlroy are analogous art in that they both deal with the Unix operating system (see Hubis, column 1 lines 41-43, and McIlroy, section 1, paragraph 1 line 1) and validating authorization to access files (see Hubis, column 3 lines 52-59, and McIlroy, section 1, paragraph 2 lines 1-2). At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to implement McIlroy's secure system by adding labels to Hubis' port mapping table.

The motivation for doing so would have been that the McIlroy's system provides sound, practical security (abstract, lines 3-5) and uses security labels to classify information for purposes of privacy and integrity (abstract, lines 5-7).

Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time of the invention to implement McIlroy's security labels on Hubis' volume management system for

Page 12

Art Unit: 2185

the benefit of practical security and for purposes of privacy and integrity, to obtain the invention of Claim 9.

16. As per <u>Claim 10</u>, Hubis and McIlroy disclose an input/output management method according to Claim 9, wherein

the definition of the relationship of connection contains physical identification information (host world wide name list 153, Figure 2B-1) that uniquely indicates said computer connected to said disk device.

17. As per Claim 11, Hubis discloses an input/output management method for managing input or output from or to a disk device (disk drive storage array, column 3 lines 62-65) connected to a computer (host 1, Figure 2A), comprising the steps of:

defining, based on computer identification information (host world wide name list 153, Figure 2B-1) and logical volume connection information (volume permission table 194, Figure 2B-3), the relationship of logical connection (port mapping table 190, Figure 2B-3) between said computer and a logical volume (logical volume, column 10 line 33) included in said disk device or a logical area (logical volume, column 10 line 33) in a logical volume (physical disc drive, column 10 line 32); and

controlling, based on the definition, whether said computer can access a logical area in a logical volume included in said disk device (column 4 lines 6-8).

Hubis does not disclose wherein said connection information definition block includes a logical volume connection information specification division in

which a connected state value concerning the connection of said computer is specified in relation to each logical volume included in said disk device or each logical area in each logical volume included in said disk device, said connected state value ranging between a minimum value and a maximum value, said maximum value signifying that said computer is fully connected, said minimum value signifying that said computer is fully disconnected, an intermediate value between said maximum value and said minimum value signifying a conditionally connected state for said computer.

McIlroy discloses a connected state value (ceiling, section 2, paragraph 1 line 3) concerning the connection of said computer (process. Section 2, paragraph 1 line 3) is specified in relation to each logical volume (file system, section 2, paragraph 1 line 3), said connected state value ranging between a minimum value and a maximum value (section 2, paragraph 2 line 1), said maximum value (symbol "yes", section 2, paragraph 2 line 2) signifying that said computer is fully connected, said minimum value (symbol "no", section 2, paragraph 2 lines 3-4) signifying that said computer is fully disconnected, an intermediate value (element of a mathematical lattice, section 2, paragraph 2 line 1) between said maximum value and said minimum value signifying a conditionally connected state (when a process label has a higher privilege than a storage label the communication may proceed, otherwise it will not, section 1, paragraph 2 lines 2-3) for said computer.

Hubis and McIlroy are analogous art in that they both deal with the Unix operating system (see Hubis, column 1 lines 41-43, and McIlroy, section 1,

Art Unit: 2185

paragraph 1 line 1) and validating authorization to access files (see Hubis, column 3 lines 52-59, and McIlroy, section 1, paragraph 2 lines 1-2). At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to implement McIlroy's secure system by adding labels to Hubis' port mapping table.

The motivation for doing so would have been that the McIlroy's system provides sound, practical security (abstract, lines 3-5) and uses security labels to classify information for purposes of privacy and integrity (abstract, lines 5-7).

Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time of the invention to implement McIlroy's security labels on Hubis' volume management system for the benefit of practical security and for purposes of privacy and integrity, to obtain the invention of Claim 11.

18. As per Claim 15, Hubis discloses a computer-readable storage medium including a disk control program for executing a method of processing information based on which input or output from or to a disk device (disk drive storage array, column 3 lines 62-65) connected to a computer (host 1, Figure 2A) is managed, wherein said disk control program comprises:

code for defining the relationship of logical connection (NURAM data structures 182, Figure 2A) between said computer and a logical volume (logical volume, column 10 line 33) included in said disk device or a logical area (logical volume, column 10 line 33) in a logical volume (physical disc drive, column 10 line 32) on the basis of both physical identification information (host world wide name list 153, Figure 2B-1) that

uniquely indicates said computer connected to said disk device, and logical volume connection information (permission column 195, Figure 2B-3) that contains a connected state value (permission value 195, Figure 2B-3) concerning the connection of said computer to each logical volume included in said disk device or each logical area in each logical volume; and

code for controlling, based on the definition, whether said computer can access a logical volume included in said disk device or a logical area a logical volume (column 4 lines 6-8),

Hubis does not disclose wherein said connected state value ranges between a minimum value and a maximum value, said maximum value signifying that said computer is fully connected, said minimum value signifying that said computer is fully disconnected, an intermediate value between said maximum value and said minimum value signifying a conditionally connected state for said computer.

McIlroy discloses a connected state value (ceiling, section 2, paragraph 1 line 3) concerning the connection of said computer (process. Section 2, paragraph 1 line 3) is specified in relation to each logical volume (file system, section 2, paragraph 1 line 3), said connected state value ranging between a minimum value and a maximum value (section 2, paragraph 2 line 1), said maximum value (symbol "yes", section 2, paragraph 2 line 2) signifying that said computer is fully connected, said minimum value (symbol "no", section 2, paragraph 2 lines 3-4) signifying that said computer is fully disconnected, an

Application/Control Number: 10/814,475 Page 16

Art Unit: 2185

1) between said maximum value and said minimum value signifying a conditionally connected state (when a process label has a higher privilege than a storage label the communication may proceed, otherwise it will not, section 1, paragraph 2 lines 2-3) for said computer.

Hubis and McIlroy are analogous art in that they both deal with the Unix operating system (see Hubis, column 1 lines 41-43, and McIlroy, section 1, paragraph 1 line 1) and validating authorization to access files (see Hubis, column 3 lines 52-59, and McIlroy, section 1, paragraph 2 lines 1-2). At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to implement McIlroy's secure system by adding labels to Hubis' port mapping table.

The motivation for doing so would have been that the McIlroy's system provides sound, practical security (abstract, lines 3-5) and uses security labels to classify information for purposes of privacy and integrity (abstract, lines 5-7).

Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time of the invention to implement McIlroy's security labels on Hubis' volume management system for the benefit of practical security and for purposes of privacy and integrity, to obtain the invention of Claim 15.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC ' 103 - Hubis, McIlroy and King

19. <u>Claim 5, 7 and 12</u> are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hubis et al (US Patent Number 6,343,324) and McIlroy et al.

Art Unit: 2185

("Multilevel Security in the UNIX Tradition") as applied to Claims 4, 1 and 9 respectively above, in further view of King et al ("Operating System Support for Virtual Machines").

20. As per <u>Claim 5</u>, Hubis and McIlroy disclose an input/output management system according to Claim 4, wherein

computer identification information (host index 151, Figure 2B-3) concerning said computer is specified in said computer identification information definition division (port mapping table 190, Figure 2B-3), and said input/output execution control block controls whether said computer can access a logical area in a logical volume included in said disk device (column 4 lines 6-8).

Hubis and McIlroy do not disclose said computer including a plurality of logical computers, wherein computer identification information concerning each of said logical computers is specified in said computer identification information definition division, and said input/output execution control block controls whether each of said logical computers that share the same physical input/output path can access a logical area in a logical volume included in said disk device.

King discloses a computer (computer system, section 1 paragraph 1 lines 2-3) including a plurality of logical computers (virtual machines, section 1 paragraph 2 lines 5-6),

wherein computer identification information concerning each of said logical computers (host index 151, Figure 2B-3, see interpretation below)

Art Unit: 2185

is specified in said computer identification information definition division, and

said input/output execution control block controls whether each of said logical computers that share the same physical input/output path can access a logical area in a logical volume included in said disk device (King, figure "Type I VMM", see interpretation below).

The virtual machines run inside the client computer (King, figure "Type I VMM") and as said client computer's access over its physical input/output path is controlled by the input/output execution control block, inherently so would the virtual machines. Additionally, the computer identification associated with each of said logical computers (host index 151, Figure 2B-3) is identical and is specified in said computer identification information definition division.

King and Hubis are analogous art in that they both deal with systems of multiple and heterogeneous host computers. It would have been obvious to someone with ordinary skill in the art to run the plurality of virtual machines taught by King on the client computer in Hubis and McIlroy's input/output management system.

King states that virtual machines can be used to provide a software environment for debugging operating systems that is more convenient than using a physical machine (section 1 paragraph 2 line 13-15) and provide a convenient interface for adding functionality (section 1 paragraph 2 line 15-19).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine the host taught by Hubis and McIlroy with the virtual machines taught by King for the benefit of

Application/Control Number: 10/814,475 Page 19

Art Unit: 2185

debugging and conveniently adding functionality, to obtain the invention as specified in claim 5.

21. As per <u>Claim 7</u>, Hubis, McIlroy and King disclose an input/output management system for managing input or output from or to a disk device connected to a computer according to Claim 1,

wherein the definition (Hubis, port mapping table 190, Figure 2B-3) is used to control whether each of a plurality application programs running in said computer (King, guest applications, figure "Type I VMM") can access a logical volume included in said disk device or a logical area in a logical volume (Hubis, column 4 lines 6-8).

King discloses multiple applications running in a virtual machine (guest applications, figure "Type I VMM"). The virtual machines run inside the client computer (King, figure "Type I VMM") and as said client computer's access over its physical input/output path is controlled by the input/output execution control block, inherently so would the virtual machines.

22. As per <u>Claim 12</u>, Hubis, McIlroy and King disclose an input/output management method according to Claim 9,

wherein whether each of a plurality of application programs running in said computer (King, guest applications, figure "Type I VMM") can access a logical volume included in said disk device or a logical area in a logical volume is controlled (Hubis, column 4 lines 6-8).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC ' 103 - Hubis, McIlroy and Tang

- 23. <u>Claim 13</u> is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hubis et al (*US Patent Number 6,343,324*) and McIlroy et al. ("Multilevel Security in the UNIX Tradition") as applied to Claim 11 above, in further view of Tang et al ("Load Distribution via Static Scheduling and Client Redirection for Replicated Web Servers").
- 24. As per Claim 13, Hubis and McIlroy disclose an input/output management method according to Claim 11, wherein a plurality of pieces of definition information (port mapping table entry 191, Figure 2B-3) define whether said computer or each of a plurality of application programs running in said computer can access a logical volume included in said disk device or a logical area in a logical volume (column 4 lines 6-8). Hubis and McIlroy do not disclose the plurality as being automatically switched with the start of each of time zones according to a predefined schedule.

The limitation "said computer or each of a plurality of application programs running in said computer" can be fulfilled by one or more of the limitations "said computer" or "each of a plurality of application programs running in said computer".

Tang discloses a plurality of pieces of definition information as being automatically switched (section 2 item 2 lines 3-5) with the start of each of time zones (period of T_s , section 2 item 2 line 3) according to a predefined schedule (section 2 item 2).

Hubis and Tang are analogous art in that they deal with managing the connection relationship between clients accessing data from one of a plurality of

storage locations. It would have been obvious to someone with ordinary skill in the art to schedule connections in Hubis and McIlroy's storage system with Tang's scheduler.

Tang discloses that using a scheduler allows user-specific data to be migrated or located at a specific storage location (section 1 paragraph 3 lines 11-13) while still keeping the load on each storage location balanced (section 1 paragraph 3 lines 13-14).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine the storage system taught by Hubis and McIlroy with the scheduler taught by Tang for the benefit of minimizing data replication and balancing the load on each storage location, to obtain the invention as specified in Claim 13.

Though not required for the current rejection, the Examiner notes that as per the rejection of Claim 7, King ("Operating System Support for Virtual Machines") discloses a computer (computer system, section 1 paragraph 1 lines 2-3) including a plurality of applications (guest applications, figure "Type I VMM").

Claim Rejections - 35 USC '103 – Hubis, McIlroy, King and Tang

25. <u>Claims 8</u> is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hubis et al (*US Patent Number 6,343,324*), McIlroy et al. ("Multilevel Security in the UNIX Tradition") and King et al ("Operating System Support for Virtual Machines") as applied to Claim 7 above, and in further view of Tang et al ("Load Distribution via Static Scheduling and Client Redirection for Replicated Web Servers").

26. As per <u>Claim 8</u>, Hubis, McIlroy and King disclose an input/output management system according to Claim 7, wherein

a plurality of pieces of computer identification information (*Hubis*, port mapping table entry 191, Figure 2B-3) defining whether said computer or each of said application programs (King, guest applications, figure "Type I VMM") can access a logical volume included in said disk device or a logical area in a logical volume (column 4 lines 6-8).

Hubis, McIlroy and King do not expressly disclose the system further comprising a schedule definition division containing said plurality of pieces of computer identification information being specified in relation to respective time zones, and in which a schedule for automatically changing the plurality of pieces of computer identification information is predefined.

Tang discloses a system comprising a schedule definition division containing a plurality of pieces of computer identification information (hostname/IP address, section 2.1 line 3) being specified in relation to respective time zones (period of T_s , section 2 item 2 line 3), and in which a schedule for automatically changing the plurality of pieces of computer identification information is predefined (section 2 item 2).

Regarding the limitation "a schedule definition division", Tang discloses a scheduler generating and storing assignments between client networks and assigned servers (section 2.2 lines 1-2). Although not expressly mentioned, it is inherent in the storing operation for the scheduler to store the assignments in an accessible way in memory. Assignments stored in an accessible way in memory

can be considered a data structure, and this data structure subsequently fulfils the limitation of a schedule definition division.

Page 23

Hubis, McIlroy, King and Tang are analogous art in that they deal with managing the connection relationship between clients accessing data from one of a plurality of storage locations. It would have been obvious to someone with ordinary skill in the art to schedule connections in Hubis and King's storage system with Tang's scheduler.

Tang discloses that using a scheduler allows user-specific data to be migrated or located at a specific storage location (section 1 paragraph 3 lines 11-13) while still keeping the load on each storage location balanced (section 1 paragraph 3 lines 13-14).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine the storage system taught by Hubis, McIlroy and King with the scheduler taught by Tang for the benefit of minimizing data replication and balancing the load on each storage location, to obtain the invention as specified in Claim 8.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC ' 103 - Hubis, McIlroy and Reynolds

27. <u>Claim 14</u> is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hubis et al (*US Patent Number 6,343,324*) and McIlroy et al. ("Multilevel Security in the UNIX Tradition") as applied to Claim 10 above, and in further view of Reynolds et al ("The Design and Implementation of an Intrusion Tolerant System").

28. As per Claim 14, Hubis and McIlroy disclose the input/output management method according to Claim 10, including definition information (NURAM data structures 182, Figure 2A) that defines whether said computer or each of a plurality of application programs running in said computer can access a logical volume included in said disk device or a logical area in a logical volume (column 4 lines 6-8). Hubis and McIlroy do not expressly disclose the definition information being automatically modified with a system failure occurring in said connected computer as a trigger.

The limitation "said computer or each of a plurality of application programs running in said computer" can be fulfilled by one or more of the limitations "said computer" or "each of a plurality of application programs running in said computer".

Reynolds discloses a system wherein a computer's access is automatically modified with a system failure (page 4 column 1 lines 7-11) occurring in said connected computer as a trigger (page 4 column 1 lines 33-35).

Hubis and Reynolds are analogous art in that they both deal with the way clients access servers. It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to combine Hubis' storage system with Reynolds failure detection system. Reynolds discloses that fault tolerant techniques usually are designed to work against faults (page 1 column 2 lines 4-5). Reynolds also states that faults produce vulnerabilities that can be exploited by an attacker (page 1 column 2 lines 8-10).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine the storage system taught by Hubis and McIlroy with the fault detection taught by Reynolds for the benefit of protection against vulnerabilities, to obtain the invention as specified in claim 14.

Though not required for the current rejection, the Examiner notes that as per the rejection of Claim 7, King ("Operating System Support for Virtual Machines") discloses a computer (computer system, section 1 paragraph 1 lines 2-3) including a plurality of applications (King, guest applications, figure "Type I VMM").

Claim Rejections - 35 USC ' 103 - Hubis, McIlroy and Mullen

- 29. <u>Claims 16-20</u> are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hubis et al (US Patent Number 6,343,324) and McIlroy et al. ("Multilevel Security in the UNIX Tradition") as applied to Claim 10 above, and in further view of Mullen ("Restrict Anonymous: Enumeration and the Null User").
- 30. As per Claims 16 and 17, Hubis and McIlroy disclose an input/output management system according to Claims 1 and 2 respectively above, wherein if said connected state value is an intermediate value, then:

an access key (label, section 1, paragraph 2 line 1) is appended (the label is inherently appended to a process in that the IX system is a modification of a UNIX system where one of the modifications was to add the element "label" to the filesystem, section 7.3) to an input/output request (process, section 1, paragraph 2 line 1) issued by said computer;

if said access key is larger than said connected state value (section 2.1, paragraph 1 lines 2-3), input/output for said input/output request is disabled (requirement not held -> data may not flow, section 2.1, paragraph 1 lines 2-3); and

if said access key is equal to or smaller than said connected state value (section 2.1, paragraph 1 lines 2-3), input/output for said input/output request is enabled (requirement held -> data may flow, section 2.1, paragraph 1 lines 2-3).

Hubis and McIlroy do not disclose that if an access key is not appended to an input/output request issued by said computer, said computer is treated as fully disconnected.

Mullen discloses that if an access key is not appended to an input/output request issued by said computer (a user account exists that does not have credentials, paragraph 2 ("Before we...") lines 9-11), said computer is treated as fully disconnected (paragraph 5 ("This obviously...") lines 1-7).

Hubis, McIlroy and Mullen are analogous art in that they deal with checking credentials on a networked system. At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to block the connection of one of Hubis and McIlroy's hosts if it does not have a label, as taught by Mullen.

Mullen teaches that by not having credentials, a user account can do things that would not be allowed if it had proper credentials and can be used to glean a tremendous amount of information from a network without raising any eyebrows (paragraph 2, lines 9-11).

Page 27

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Hubis and McIlroy's secure connection system with Mullen's insight about not having credentials for the benefit of securing the system to obtain the invention as specified in Claims 16 and 17.

31. As per <u>Claims 18 and 19</u>, Hubis and McIlroy disclose an input/output management method according to Claims 9 and 11 respectively above, wherein if said connected state value is an intermediate value, then:

an access key (label, section 1, paragraph 2 line 1) is appended (the label is inherently appended to a process in that the IX system is a modification of a UNIX system where one of the modifications was to add the element "label" to the filesystem, section 7.3) to an input/output request (process, section 1, paragraph 2 line 1) issued by said computer;

if said access key is larger than said connected state value (section 2.1, paragraph 1 lines 2-3), input/output for said input/output request is disabled (requirement not held -> data may not flow, section 2.1, paragraph 1 lines 2-3); and

if said access key is equal to or smaller than said connected state value (section 2.1, paragraph 1 lines 2-3), input/output for said input/output request is enabled (requirement held -> data may flow, section 2.1, paragraph 1 lines 2-3).

Hubis and McIlroy do not disclose that if an access key is not appended to an input/output request issued by said computer, said computer is treated as fully disconnected.

Mullen discloses that if an access key is not appended to an input/output request issued by said computer (a user account exists that does not have credentials, paragraph 2 ("Before we...") lines 9-11), said computer is treated as fully disconnected (paragraph 5 ("This obviously...") lines 1-7).

Hubis, McIlroy and Mullen are analogous art in that they deal with checking credentials on a networked system. At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to block the connection of one of Hubis and McIlroy's hosts if it does not have a label, as taught by Mullen.

Mullen teaches that by not having credentials, a user account can do things that would not be allowed if it had proper credentials and can be used to glean a tremendous amount of information from a network without raising any eyebrows (paragraph 2, lines 9-11).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Hubis and McIlroy's secure connection system with Mullen's insight about not having credentials for the benefit of securing the system to obtain the invention as specified in Claims 18 and 19.

32. As per <u>Claim 20</u>, Hubis and McIlroy disclose a computer-readable storage medium according to Claim 15, wherein if said connected state value is an intermediate value, then:

an access key (label, section 1, paragraph 2 line 1) is appended (the label is inherently appended to a process in that the IX system is a modification of a UNIX system where one of the modifications was to add

the element "label" to the filesystem, section 7.3) to an input/output request (process, section 1, paragraph 2 line 1) issued by said computer;

if said access key is larger than said connected state value (section 2.1, paragraph 1 lines 2-3), input/output for said input/output request is disabled (requirement not held -> data may not flow, section 2.1, paragraph 1 lines 2-3); and

if said access key is equal to or smaller than said connected state value (section 2.1, paragraph 1 lines 2-3), input/output for said input/output request is enabled (requirement held -> data may flow, section 2.1, paragraph 1 lines 2-3).

Hubis and McIlroy do not disclose that if an access key is not appended to an input/output request issued by said computer, said computer is treated as fully disconnected.

Mullen discloses that if an access key is not appended to an input/output request issued by said computer (a user account exists that does not have credentials, paragraph 2 ("Before we...") lines 9-11), said computer is treated as fully disconnected (paragraph 5 ("This obviously...") lines 1-7).

Hubis, McIlroy and Mullen are analogous art in that they deal with checking credentials on a networked system. At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to block the connection of one of Hubis and McIlroy's hosts if it does not have a label, as taught by Mullen.

Mullen teaches that by not having credentials, a user account can do things that would not be allowed if it had proper credentials and can be used to

Art Offic. 2165

glean a tremendous amount of information from a network without raising any eyebrows (paragraph 2, lines 9-11).

Page 30

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Hubis and McIlroy's secure connection system with Mullen's insight about not having credentials for the benefit of securing the system to obtain the invention as specified in Claim 20.

III. RELEVANT ART CITED BY THE EXAMINER

33. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Draves (US Patent Number 5,802,590) discloses a method and system for allowing process to access resources.

Clifton (US Patent Number 5,469,556) discloses a resource access security system for use in a data processing system.

Sena et al. ("A Protection Model for Network Communications Based on Security Levels") discloses a security level model for packet communications.

IV. CLOSING COMMENTS

34. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 2185

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Page 31

a. CLAIMS REJECTED IN THE APPLICATION

35. Per the instant office action, claims 1-20 have received a first action on the merits and are subject of a first action non-final.

b. DIRECTION OF FUTURE CORRESPONDENCES

36. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sam Dillon whose telephone number is 571-272-8010. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Don Sparks can be reached on 571-272-4201. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2185

IMPORTANT NOTE

37. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ŠAD

Sam Dillon Examiner Art Unit 2185

DONALD SPARKS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 32